Novel Cryogenic SPE seal Designs

Francis Lebeau Fluorten S.r.I.







Presenter

<u>Francis Lebeau</u> Consultant Spring Energised Seal Project @ **Fluorten**

Co-Authors

<u>Massimiliano Salogni</u> Product Manager High Performance Plastics & Seals <u>Telesforo Riccardo Lori</u> Engineering Manager Fluorten



Courtesy Circor Italy



Presentation Overview Introduction

Fundamentals of a spring energised seal Sealing at cryogenic temperatures Current cryogenic seal designs Cryogenic sealing with novel designs Test Results What is next?



fluorten®



Introduction

Reducing fugitive emissions is key to operators

Leaks carry an economic cost

Many small leaks add up to large emissions

Improved safety

Methane emissions contribute to climate change

First time good seal results at FAT





Fundamentals of a spring energised seal

Required seating stress for leak free operation

- * Rough surface finish requires high seating stress
- * Sealing gas requires high seating stress
- * Cryogenic temperature requires high seating stress
- * Standard seals at best, create 6 N load per mm seal circumference
- Depending on seal contact shape, 6 N/mm >>> 6 MPa seating stress at best.



Fundamentals of a spring energised seal

Required seating stress for leak free operation

A seating stress equal to or close to the yield strength would be good.

- * The jacket material will begin to flow and plug eventual crevice's.
- * The actual seal contact area will come closer to the geometric contact area



What are we up against?

Sealing Helium at Liquid Nitrogen temperatures.

How small is a Helium atom? Rounded up a little, Helium atoms have a diameter of 0.1 nanometer.

Comparison with a soccer goal and ball.

A soccer goal measures 7.32 by 2.44 meters and the ball 0.22 meters.

Reducing the soccer ball to the size of a Helium atom equals a shrinkage factor of 2.2*10⁹

Applying this factor to the goal size reduces the size to 0.00333 by 0.00111 microns.

An excellent surface finish would be 0.3*0.1 microns or a factor of 100 worse.



What material property changes are seen while cooling down to LN2?





What material property changes are seen while cooling down to LN2?

PTFE Yield Strength, E modulus and hardness increase almost 10 fold

From RT and 9 MPa



To compensate the increase in hardness,

- a similar increase in seating stress may be wanted.
- so from 6N/mm to may be 60N/mm.

While not possible this would also be impractical. **The dynamic seat would be hard to move.**



What material property changes are seen while cooling down to LN2?

Fluoropolymers used in cryo valves would also shrink a factor 10 more than the surrounding metal bodies.

This leads to

- a reduction in seating stress at the outer diameter of the groove.
- a reduction in seating stress between the spring coils gaps.



Sealing at cryogenic temperatures related to valve behaviour

A seal can only perform optimal when;

- ✤ The load generated is/and stays at its desired maximum
- This load is uniformly distributed over the entire seal circumference.
 To achieve this;
 - The bore diameter & shaft diameter needs to be centric at assembly
 - This centricity needs to be maintained at operating conditions.

What can cause deviations;

- Differential shrinkage of the components
- Ovalisation of body versus the dynamic seat



Current cryogenic seal designs



Typical examples

- Virgin modified PTFE jacket
- * Carbon filled polymer
- * Strong or "double coil" spring
- * Double cavity with strong spring
- Helical or V shape springs
- * Anti back pressure device



Acceptance criteria 8" full bore. MESC SPE 77/300 February 2016

Seat + Seal leakage 1,5 ml/min at rated pressure

Acceptable leak rate equals

1.5 ml/min x 200mm = 300 ml/min. (6" DN >> 225 ml/min.)

or

25 mm³/s X 200 mm = 5000 mm³/s. (6" DN >> 3750 mm³/s.)





Typical SPE Seal Design

- Shrinkage reduces OD-lip seating load
- Spring coil gaps have negative impact on seating stress.
- Shrinkage increases ID-lip seating load



Patent pending SPL Seal Cover

Novel SPE Design for Cryogenic Ball Valves

Novel SPE Seal Design

- * Integrated radial & axial seal
- * Body sealing by face seal
- Seat sealing by radial seal
- * Each seal has it own spring
- Load and resulting drag can be optimized

Leak performance at least 10 times better than MESC SPE 77/300



Novel SPE Design for Cryogenic Ball Valves



Novel SPE Seal Design

- Integrated radial & axial seal
- Body sealing by face seal
- * Seat sealing by radial seal
- Each seal has it own spring
- Load and resulting drag can be optimized

Leak performance at least 10 times better than MESC SPE 77/300



Novel SPE Design for Cryogenic Ball Valves



- Variation on the novel design whereby the seal is located on the downstream position. (one direction valve)
- Would prevent bleed off in the downstream direction.
- * Lower bolt force required



Test results by a renomated valve manufacturer

Test Conditions

Pressure: 0- 100 Bar Temperature Range: RT to -196°C Valve used 8" full bore # 1500 class





Patent pending



Test results by a renomated valve manufacturer

Gas	Medium	100%			N ₂		Не	N_2
Tem	perature		20°C	-50°C	-100°C	-150°C	-196°C	RT
Side	P(bar)	T(min)		L	eakage	in ml/m	nin	
Α	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Α	10	5	0	0	0	50	0	0
Α	20	5	0	0	0	100	315	0
Α	50	5	0	0	0	80	450	0
Α	100	5	0	0	0	110	-	0



8" valve mock-up, Seal ID: 227 mm surface finish 0,1 Ra



Test results by a another renomated valve manufacturer

Gas	Medium	100%	N ₂	Не	N ₂				
Temperature:			20° C	-196°C	RT				
Side P(bar)		T(min)	Leak	Leakage in ml/					
Α	3	5	0	1,4	0				
Α	20	5	0	46	0				
Α	50	5	0	67,5	0				
Α	100	5	0	102	0				

Difference versus previous

6" valve versus 8 inch valve

The seal lips for the second test have been changed / improved a little.

6" valve mock-up, Seal ID = 177 mm surface Ra = 0.4



Cryo test program by 3P Engineering, witnessed by third party, Bureau Veritas



Seal description

- 1, 4 & 5 Secondary seals
- Seal 2 = special Cryo SPE seal
- Seal 3 = standard SPE seal

P1 = Pressure line

- P2, line to measure total leak of standard seal
- P3, measures the leak over the face seal
- P4, measures the ID lip leak of the special seal
- **Red dot** = temp sensor position

Surface finish body 0,3 Ra shaft <0,15 Ra



Cryo test program by 3P Engineering, witnessed by third party, Bureau Veritas

Test specimen Special SPE as depicted in the sketch (1)

Material: Modified PTFE & UHMW PE

Standard Seal Helical Spring (2)

Material: Modified PTFE & UHMW PE

Standard Seal V-Spring (3) Material: Modified PTFE

Shaft diameter : 228 mm-XS 3/16 inch





Cryo test program by 3P Engineering, witnessed by third party, Bureau Veritas Test Fixture View





Results Cryo test program by 3P Engineering, witnessed by third party, Bureau Veritas

Standard Seals, both helical and V-spring loaded seals leaked above 1 liter/min. As of 50 bar He and -170°C leak > 1000 ml/min As of 25 bar He and -196°C leak > 1000 ml/min

The results for the standard seals in the following slides are for a V-spring loaded seal with a non –standard outer lip design.

8" test fixture, 227 mm seal ID, surface finish 0,1 Ra





Results Cryo test program by 3P Engineering, witnessed by third party, Bureau Veritas

Description	Rooi E-(mtemp., Sniffing)6 mbar.	He , .l/s	Ten	nperat	ure -10 ml	<mark>0°C</mark> , M ∕min	edium	GHe			N
<u>Cryo design F10 12</u>	1 Bar	10 Bar	25 Bar	1 Bar	5 Bar	10 Bar	25 Bar	50 Bar	100 Bar			
Leak @ Seal OD	5,60	6,00	10,00	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Leak @ Seal ID	5,60	8,00	8,00	0	0	0	0	0	0		0000000	÷
Leak @ Seal ID + OD		Sum		0	0	0	0	0	0			
<u>Special V seal</u>										11		37
Leak @ Seal OD + ID	5,60	10,00	20,00	0	4	10	27	30	32			N)

8" test fixture, 227 mm seal ID, surface finish 0,1 Ra



Results Cryo test program by 3P Engineering, witnessed by third party, Bureau Veritas

Description	Roor E-(Temperature -170°C, Medium GHe ml/min							
Cryo design F10 12	1 Bar	10 Bar	25 Bar	1 Bar	5 Bar	10 Bar	25 Bar	50 Bar	100 Bar
Leak @ Seal OD	5,60	6,00	10,00	0	0	0	0	3	8
Leak @ Seal ID	5,60	8,00	8,00	0	0	0	0	3	16
Leak @ Seal ID + OD	I ID + OD Sum		0	0	0	0	6	24	
Special V seal									
Leak @ Seal OD + ID	5,60	10,00	20,00	4	38	50	69	99	390

8" test fixture, 227 mm seal ID, surface finish -shaft<0,15 Ra –body = 0,3 Ra . Max. allowed leak = 300 ml/min.



Results Cryo test program by 3P Engineering, witnessed by third party, Bureau Veritas

Description	Rooi E-(Ter	Temperature -196°C, Medium Ghe ml/min						
Cryo design F10 12	1 Bar	10 Bar	25 Bar	1 Bar	5 Bar	10 Bar	25 Bar	50 Bar	100 Bar
Leak @ Seal OD	5,60	6,00	10,00	0	0	0	0	3,2	10
Leak @ Seal ID	5,60	8,00	8,00	0	2	3,2	6	9	25
Leak @ Seal ID + OD	Sum		0	2	3.2	6	12,2	35	
Special V seal									
Leak @ Seal OD + ID	5,60	10,00	20,00	13	55	57	85	99	400

8" test fixture, 227 mm seal ID, surface finish -shaft<0,15 Ra –body = 0,3 Ra . Max. allowed leak = 300 ml/min.



Conclusions

- This novel SPE design complies with and exceeds current leak specifications
- The novel SPE seal has potential to "0" leak at normal LNG operation conditions.
- The design requires an additional part for the valve.
 - The extra cost related to this design can be offset by:
 - easier and less costly finishing at the bore location
 - first time success with FAT
 - no surprises at end customer
- Better finish at seal lips and valve surface will yield better seal performance.
- Seal design, careful handling and assembly are key to success.
- ✤ A clean and dust free assembly environment would be good.



What is next? Optimizing seal geometry & Springload To target "zero" leakage Reduce seat drag as far as possible For maximum seat tightness Optimize material properties For extended life Possible use as a shaft seal Reduce torque







and the